Robert Colaco VS. Los Angeles County De	partment of Public Social Services (LACO DPSS)
Robert Colaco	
Mailing address:	
PO BOX 1949	
LITTLEROCK, CA	
93543-5949	
EMAIL: FounderRC@CFABA.NET	
1-818-574-8911	
Plaintiff in Pro Per.	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
Michael Antonovich Antelope Valley Courthou	se
42011 4th Street West	
Lancaster, CA 93534	
Robert Colaco,	Lawsuit / Complaint.
Plaintiff,	Luttourt Companie
VS. ()	Case No.:19AVCP00260
Antonia Jimenez, Director	
Los Angeles County Department of Public	Hearing Date:February 06, <b>2020</b>
Social Services (LACO DPSS)	Time:8:30 AM
12860 Crossroads Parkway South	Dept.:Dept. A14
City Of Industry, CA 91746	
Defendants	Judge:Judge Brian C. Yep
)	
)	

Motion to reject Anita Susan Brenner "motion to strike".

Motion to reject Anita Susan Brenner "motion for attorney fees".

|| Introductory Recitals:

It is my strongest hope, desire, and goal to not sound or be rude, unkind, or to show any disrespect as I make my following remarks.

It is also my strongest hope, desire, and goal to communicate with you as truthfully and clearly as I possibly can so that you would understand what I am trying to say to you.

Since I happen to know from one or more of the self help law books that I read that I am to limit my remarks to about 15 minutes I will attempt to do just that. I have prepared remarks so that I can take the least amount of time.

My awesome wife Gail is here in the courtroom and has pushed my wheelchair into position to be able to speak to you this morning.

Since I also happen to know that on December 17, 2019 when I had a phone conversation with Anita Susan Brenner I was interrupted on more than one occasion I would kindly ask your honor to keep Anita Susan Brenner from interrupting me.

I was truly shocked and very, very, very, disturbed by my conversation with Anita Susan Brenner on numerous fronts.

Because I was born in Baghdad, Iraq, and I legally immigrated to the United States in 1969 as a 12 year old boy with family members. I became a U.S. citizen in 1976, the two 200th anniversary of America's Independence.

The English language was my fourth language I use communication tactics, policies and procedures because I am extremely concerned that people might misunderstand what I am attempting to communicate to them. That communication includes but is not limited to communicating by using examples and picturesque language again so that people do not misunderstand what I am attempting to communicate to them.

I also bring up where I was born because it greatly affects what this case is all about. My awesome wife Gail is allergic to practically every animal hair on the planet. In that same way I am allergic to bad government anywhere in the USA. In the year 2014 I attended a citizenship ceremony at the LA convention center. Part of that ceremony were remarks by a Federal Judge. With the over 4000 individuals being sworn in to become USA citizens including our friend who invited us. That Federal Judge delivered remarks that were incredibly inspiring. Those remarks were inspiring for many reasons that included but was not limited to encouraging those brand new USA citizens to get involved in all aspects of their new government. I was struck by what I thought I heard back in 1976 when I became a U.S. citizen. My awesome wife Gail and I have suffered greatly to do what seemingly both Federal Judges communicated that we as new USA citizens even though those two judges were 38 years apart in their remarks to yours truly in 1976 and my attendance in the year 2014.

None of those words ever cross the line of using foul language. For me it is not about people agreeing with my communication but it is that they understand what I

am attempting to communicate to them. That is why I admire and love that we as a nation have the First Amendment.

Since Anita Susan Brenner, esq. references my two phone calls with Anita Susan Brenner, esq. and what happened during both the phone calls, Anita Susan Brenner, esq. was extremely offended at what I thought to be kind, thoughtful, caring, and gracious statements I will refer to Anita Susan Brenner, esq. by the name Anita Susan Brenner rather than using such words as gender words so as to not potentially be accused of inappropriate communication and then being told that I as a pro per should know everything that an attorney would know and obviously why what I said was so offensive.

So, as not to potentially offend Anita Susan Brenner, esq. I will refer again to as Anita Susan Brenner rather than Anita Susan Brenner, esq. since it is extremely problematic in the writing of this document since the "voice recognition software" that I am using cannot distinguish between Anita Susan Brenner, esq. versus a period from this point forward.

On page 13 in the section of:

Declaration of Anita Susan Brenner In support of defendant county's special motion to strike

Number six on that page what Anita Susan Brenner does not state that I said to Anita Susan Brenner:

"Mr. Colaco admitted that the decision that is the subject of his petition was in his favor. His position (not his exact words) was that county employees had lied and

this merited further litigation even though the decision was in his favor. We were unable to resolve the issues presented in the present motion."

Anita Susan Brenner did not include that I stated what is a decision when it is in fact meaningless trash not even recyclable trash. I also stated to Anita Susan
Brenner that when I believed that LACO DPSS employees were acting in an illegal manner I wanted nothing to do with that.

Anita Susan Brenner demonstrated by not asking any further questions that Anita Susan Brenner could not care one iota about the issues that I raised immediately above.

Anita Susan Brenner went on to tell me that I should consider my decision and that I should call Anita Susan Brenner the following morning or Anita Susan Brenner would file "ANTI-SLAPP MOTION". I went on to tell Anita Susan Brenner that since I happened to know what that is and I went on to a basically tell Anita Susan Brenner what I believed that was which has been clearly demonstrated by Anita Susan Brenner legal filings.

I want to compliment Anita Susan Brenner for one thing. Anita Susan Brenner
postmarked those legal filings on December 19, 2019. Since we spoke on
December 17, 2019 and ended my notes of the conversation at 16:01 hours (4:01
PM) Anita Susan Brenner followed through on the commitment that Anita Susan
Brenner made.

Anita Susan Brenner states IN:

"Memorandum of points and authorities in support of special motion to strike.

## I. Plaintiff seeks review of a decision in his favor"

Anita Susan Brenner Relied heavily on selected. I would strongly encourage this court to read my Exhibit 0001 so as to determine the proper context. This is a document that was intended and is intended to end up as we stated at the top of the document:

"We at Citizens For A Better America(R) (CFABA.ORG) are sending this News Release to email addresses on public websites primarily beginning with searches at (ilrg.com/nlj250). If you believe you have received this News Release by mistake, my apologies."

When any one with Internet access copies and pastes (ilrg.com/nlj250) into their Internet browser they will discover that website page is the first website page of seven website pages that have the 350 largest law firms in the United States of America.

We have searched those websites to discover any and all e-mail addresses that are on those websites. Many of those websites have their lawyers by name, phone number, and most importantly to us their e-mail address. By the way before Anita Susan Brenner does a whistleblower complaint against yours truly for the perception that I have committed illegal activity, we searched those public websites WITHOUT violating any of those websites in anyway shape or form.

Q. Why in the world did I spend the time and energy for a gentleman that has very limited amounts of both to deal with everything that I have dealt with from "Anita Susan Brenner states IN:"?

1

## Motions to reject.-6

A. By all the actions of Anita Susan Brenner since we spoke of December 17, 2019 to today, Tuesday, January 21st 2020 here we all are in your court room your honor. That document clearly spells out what is being done with that document. By all of the evidence that is available to me Anita Susan Brenner is attempting to absolutely destroy me before I am able to do what I have said in my legal filings:

"It was my intent, it is my intent, it will be my intent to convert this lawsuit to a minimum of 1 Billion Dollar Class Action Lawsuits(TM)."

In all of the nightmarish time that we had to deal with Judge Kathleen Blanchard I had a problem with practically everything she said and did. The one thing that I did not have a problem with was when Judge Kathleen Blanchard was attempting to encourage a gentleman that was in lockup (He had the customary clothing) to get legal counsel (with great effort on the part of Judge Kathleen Blanchard).

In a potential demonstration of how even though myself and family members have been in the USA since Labor Day weekend 1969 I will make an effort to not mess this up:

"If it walks like SADDAM HUSSEIN's legal system, if it quacks like SADDAM HUSSEIN's legal system, then it is SADDAM HUSSEIN's legal system."

SADDAM HUSSEIN did great damage to the nation that I was born in and lived in until 1969.

Yes, I do believe that our legal system is broken that is why when I initially came to believe that in the year 2010 we had not even experienced all of the abuse that legal system has done to us, my awesome wife Gail and I.

As it pertains to this specific lawsuit petition against LACO DPSS I want to do all I can do to stop LACO DPSS from doing the kinds of abusive behavior that they have done to us, which includes but is not limited to:

01. By all the evidence that is available to me massively retaliating against us for holding them accountable and revealing their misdeeds.

02. By all the evidence that is available to me committing perjury in sworn testimony.

03. By violating an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) order that was absolutely, positively and unequivocally clear in what they were to do. They did not do what that (ALJ) order was and then would go on to lie about it for over a year.

04. By all the evidence that is available to me that the "Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors" (https://bos.lacounty.gov/), with what I believe to be the same five supervisors in district number order Hilda L. Solis, Mark Ridley-Thomas, Sheila Kuehl, Janice Hahn, Kathryn Barger had the opportunity to discover these issues in the month of May of 2018 when we published all of the emails that we had ever sent to either LACO DPSS or CA DSS (my exhibit 0002) and they chose to not do anything about it and to not fix the problems.

If I were to use the same communication Anita Susan Brenner used actually questioning my integrity and communicating that our filing for this lawsuit petition

is not timely because it was not done on the date that it was mailed by CA DSS
that very exhibit was not properly done even though my version was NOT messed
up so as to bring potential problems when viewed by your honor. Those
supervisors that had anything to do with not fixing these problems and having a
contract with Anita Susan Brenner who is not only attempting to absolutely destroy
the life of someone who has qualified to be on General Relief (GR) also known as
welfare since December of 2018. I am speaking of yours truly.

05. By all the evidence that is available to me those same "Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors" having a contract with Anita Susan Brenner to destroy the people's lives that have had dealings with child issues since Anita Susan Brenner erroneously had that in the legal filings.

06. By all the evidence that is available to me those same "Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors" operating (LACO DPSS) as if they were operating the pentagon or the CIA not the Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services (LACO DPSS) as I have stated to the two individuals that I have been speaking with at (LACO DPSS) La France Toliver and Michael Guerra the two high ranking officials at (LACO DPSS) headquarters.

07. By all the evidence that is available to me those same "Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors" should hang their heads in shame based on what I said in (04.). directly above.

Anita Susan Brenner acting in really BAD FAITH:

Anita Susan Brenner has demonstrated to me in an excellent manner that our legal system is broken (ourlegalsystemisbroken.com) because it would appear that Anita

Susan Brenner is able to get away with this highly inappropriate behavior that Anita Susan Brenner has done.

01. By all the evidence that is available to me Anita Susan Brenner went over my "pleading papers" and my exhibits since Anita Susan Brenner states the following:

"Counsel for responding party estimates that defense costs will be at least 30 hours. Defense counsel's reduced hourly rate to the County of Los Angeles for this case is \$180.00. Defendant request and order directing plaintiff to pay to Defendant County of Los Angeles the minimum amount of \$5,400.00, as set forth in the declaration of Anita Susan Brenner...

02. Because I State the following in that same open letter document:

"Three. USPS snail mail. We normally go to pick up our mail at our PO BOX 1949, LITTLEROCK, CA 93543-5949 once every 2 to 6 weeks. We have had lawyers who actually care about us send an e-mail and then take that same document and send it by USPS snail mail."

So, Anita Susan Brenner would have a very serious opportunity to believe that we would not have been able to receive these documents so that we could do something about them. Since I have already experienced in problems that I have had with attorneys and knowing that bar associations fail in protecting non lawyers.

25 26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

03. Sending me a packet that is what Anita Susan Brenner stated was my 53 pages plus what ever Anita Susan Brenner added to it. What could have taken yours truly less than 10 minutes because I would have been able to scan Anita Susan Brenner documents and have had my computer reading Anita Susan Brenner documents back to me as well as to be able to copy and paste every time I quoted Anita Susan Brenner.

As far as I am concerned when Anita Susan Brenner was well aware of my 147.13 hours of In Home Supportive Services (IHSS) this is a severe trashing of my "Americans With Disabilities Act" (ADA) protections and rights.

Prayer For Relief:

01. While I have personally forgiven Anita Susan Brenner for attempting to intimidate, and bulley yours truly from pursuing a very legitimate case of malfeasance on the part of (LACO DPSS). I kindly ask that your honor sanction Anita Susan Brenner the \$5,400.00 for the court to get those funds to then have those funds to go to a nonprofit organization helping the 3 1/2 million Los Angeles County residents having to do business with (LACO DPSS).

02. In another case presided over by Judge Randolph A. Rogers in this very Courthouse in Lancaster, California after reading:

"[102] Robert Colaco Political Biography:

cfaba.org/cf01027.htm

http://www.cfaba.org/cf01027.htm"

On page 20 of 21 in exhibit 0001 decided that there was no way that I would want to take away any ones first amendment right of free speech even though the opposing counsel argued vociferously to get him to change his mind.

03. I did what I did with Anita Susan Brenner over the issue of recording because I wanted Anita Susan Brenner to know that was something that I did ON each phone call whether they were both sides of the conversation as was the case with my phone hearings with the Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) or my side of the conversation only with everyone that I have spoken with at (LACO DPSS). I have all of those recordings.

Obviously all those recordings need to be transcribed that is why I am doing everything that I can do to find lawyers and law firms to bring those minimum one billion dollar class action lawsuits (bottom line so that they would be motivated to take these cases). Again, please read that entire document.

04. I patiently waited for (LACO DPSS) to do "Good Behavior" rather than "Bad" for one whole year. I ask your honor to give me one year to find those lawyers and law firms so that I am not an absolutely abused by cut throat attorneys contracted by the "Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors" like Anita Susan Brenner.

05. To reject Anita Susan Brenner "motion to strike".

06. To reject Anita Susan Brenner "motion for attorney fees".

07. There is more that I would like to say but I'm just out of time.

08. Would this court kindly stamp this document and send it to Anita Susan Brenner since we do not have the resources to do that. Since we have survived these 14 months by the kindness of our Church family including today.

1

09. For Anita Susan Brenner to never again send me a packet like this one which I would potentially destroy in getting to the papers.

10. I am fairly certain that Anita Susan Brenner will argue that there is no way Anita Susan Brenner can wait that long or for this court to wait that long. Bottom line WE ARE THE ONES WHO ARE SUFFERING. My awesome wife Gail has not been paid since April 16, 2018.

Respectfully spoken and submitted before Judge Brian C. Yep, Dept. A14 in this very Courthouse in Lancaster, California on or about Thursday, February 06, 2020 at 8:30 AM.

Signed By Robert Colaco or	n Thursday, February 06, 2020

Document Name:

<sup>24</sup> Prepared-remarks-to-be-spoken-by-Robert-Colaco-on-20200206